



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,333	01/27/2006	Gilad Lavi	S2082/20004	4212

3000 7590 11/18/2008
CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN,
COHEN & POKOTILOW, LTD.
11TH FLOOR, SEVEN PENN CENTER
1635 MARKET STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2212

EXAMINER

ANDERSON, MICHAEL J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3767

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

11/18/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@crbcp.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/566,333	Applicant(s) LAVI ET AL.	
	Examiner MICHAEL J. ANDERSON	Art Unit 3767	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MICHAEL J. ANDERSON. (3) ____.

(2) Scott Slomowitz. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 12 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,9,38,44 and 45.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent No. 5,478,316 (Bitdinger), U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0193746 (Chevallier), U.S. Patent No. 6,319,233 (Jansen) and U.S. Patent No. 7,097,634 (Gilbert).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed the amendments of 10/20/2008 regarding the automatic features of the device and the single spring operation in comparsion to the prior art used in the 102 and 103 rejections.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

//Michael J Anderson// Examiner, Art Unit 3767	
---	--